

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S. No.	PARTICULARS	Page No.
SESSION 1		
QUALITIES, ATTITUDE AND SKILLS FOR EFFECTIVE JUDGING		
1.	Justice R.V. Raveendran, “ <i>How to be a Good Judge: Advice to New Judges</i> ” in ANOMALIES IN LAW & JUSTICE: WRITINGS RELATED TO LAW & JUSTICE, EBC Publishing (P) Ltd., (2021) pp. 277-317	
2.	Lord Denning, “ <i>Into the Conduct of Judges</i> ” in THE DUE PROCESS OF LAW, Oxford University Press (2012), pp. 58-66	
3.	Justice V. K. Bist, <i>Judicial Behavior and Conduct in the Present Scenario</i> , Uttarakhand Judicial & Legal Review, Uttarakhand Judicial & Legal Review, Available at: https://ujala.uk.gov.in/files/ch1.pdf	
4.	Robert A. Leflar, “ <i>The Quality of Judges</i> ”, 35 IND. L.J. 289 (1960)	
5.	Justice G. S. Singhvi, “ <i>Judicial Ethics</i> ”, 7(2) Journal of Delhi Judicial Academy 93-106 (2011)	
6.	Aharon Barak, “ <i>The Role of the Judge: Theory, Practice and the Future</i> ” in THE JUDGE IN A DEMOCRACY, Princeton University Press (2008) pp. 306-315	
7.	Emmanuel Jeuland, “ <i>Towards a New Court Management? General Report</i> ” [Research Report] Université Paris 1 - Panthéon Sorbonne. 2018.	
8.	John Rawls, “ <i>Outline of a Decision – Procedure for Ethics, The Philosophical Review</i> ”, Apr., 1951, Vol. 60, No. 2 (Apr., 1951), pp. 177-197	
9.	Arthur T. Vanderbilt, “ <i>A Man of Law's Tale - The Reminiscences of the Rt. Hon. Lord Macmillan</i> ”, (book Review), American Bar Association Journal, Vol. 39, No. 4 (April 1953), pp. 310-312	
ADDITIONAL SUGGESTED REFERENCES		
1.	Lord Macmillan, A MAN OF LAW'S TALE - THE REMINISCENCES OF THE RT. HON. LORD MACMILLAN, (Indian Economy Reprint), St. Martin's Press, 1952	
2.	<i>The Bangalore Principles of Judicial Conduct, 2002 [The Bangalore Draft Code of Judicial Conduct 2001 adopted by the Judicial Group on Strengthening Judicial Integrity, as revised at the Round Table Meeting of Chief Justices held at the Peace Palace, The Hague, November 25-26, 2002]</i> . Link to access: https://www.unodc.org/pdf/crime/corruption/judicial_group/Bangalore_principles.pdf	
3.	Commentary on <i>Bangalore Principles of Judicial Conduct</i> , United Nations office on Drugs and Crime, September 2007 [A detailed draft commentary was prepared on each of the Bangalore Principles and discussed in depth, together with the Principles, at the Open-Ended Intergovernmental Expert Group Meeting on Strengthening Basic Principles of Judicial Conduct held in Vienna on 1-2 March 2007. The Commentary gives depth and strength to the Principles and contributes significantly to furthering their global adoption as a universal declaration of judicial ethics]. Link to access: https://www.unodc.org/documents/corruption/publications_unodc_commentary-e.pdf	
4.	<i>Restatement of Values of Judicial Life, 1999</i> [As adopted by Full Court Meeting of the Supreme Court of India on 7th May, 1997]. https://main.sci.gov.in/pdf/Notice/02112020_090821.pdf	

CASE LAW

(Judgments mentioned below includes citation only for reference. Please refer the full judgment for conclusive opinion)

1.	<i>Muzaffar Husain v. State of Uttar Pradesh And Anr.</i> Civil Appeal No. 3613 of 2022
2.	<i>Mathew Z Pulikunnel v. Chief Justice of India</i> , WP(C) NO. 17654 OF 2021
3.	<i>Sadhna Chaudhary v. State of Uttar Pradesh</i> (2020) 11 SCC 760
4.	<i>Shrirang Yadavrao Waghmare v. State of Maharashtra</i> , (2019) 9 SCC 144
5.	<i>Registrar General, Patna High Court v. Pandey Gajendra Prasad</i> , 2012 STPL(Web) 305 SC
6.	<i>Rajendra Singh Verma (Dead) Through LRs. v. Lieutenant Governor (NCT of Delhi)</i> , (2011) 10 SCC 1
7.	<i>Tarak Singh v. Jyoti Basu</i> , (2005)1 SCC 201
8.	<i>High Court of Judicature at Bombay v. Shashikant S. Patil</i> , (2000) 1 SCC 416
9.	<i>Union of India v. K.K. Dhawan</i> (1993) 2 SCC 56
10.	<i>High Court of Judicature at Rajasthan v. Ramesh Chand Paliwal</i> , (1998) 3 SCC 72
11.	<i>High Court of Judicature at Bombay v. Uday Singh</i> , (1997) 5 SCC 129
12.	<i>Daya Shankar v. High Court of Allahabad</i> , (1987) 3 SCC 1
13.	<i>C. Ravichandran Iyer v. Justice A.M. Bhattacharjee & Ors.</i> (1995) 5 SCC 457
14.	<i>K.P. Singh vs. High Court of H.P. & ors.</i> 2011(3)KLJ11
15.	<i>R.C. Chandel v. High Court of M.P.</i> , (2012) 8 SCC 58
16.	<i>All India Judges' Association v. Union Of India</i> , 1992 AIR 165 [Para 61]
17.	<i>Rajesh Kohli vs. High Court of J. and K. and Anr.</i> (2010)12SCC783
18.	<i>B (A Child)(Adequacy of Reasons)</i> , [2022] EWCA Civ 407 (Lord Justice Peter Jackson & Lady Justice Nicola Davies) (Relevant Paras 59 and 60)

SESSION 2

SUBJECTIVITY, OBJECTIVITY & RATIONALITY IN DECISION MAKING PROCESS

1.	Casey, Pamela; Burke, Kevin; and Leben, Steve, " <i>Minding The Court: Enhancing The Decision-making Process</i> " (2013). Court Review: The Journal of the American Judges Association. 418. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ajacourtreview/418
2.	Hilary Biehler, " <i>Upholding Standards In Public Decision-Making: Getting The Balance Right</i> " Irish Jurist, New Series, Vol. 57 (2017), pp. 94-118
3.	Douglas E. Edlin, " <i>Subjectivity, Objectivity, Impartiality</i> " in COMMON LAW JUDGING: SUBJECTIVITY, IMPARTIALITY, AND THE MAKING OF LAW pp. 20-51 (University of Michigan Press; 2019)
4.	Justice R. V. Raveendran, " <i>Rendering Decisions- Basics for New Judges (Decision-Making & Judgment-Writing)</i> " in ANOMALIES IN LAW & JUSTICE: WRITINGS RELATED TO LAW & JUSTICE, EBC Publishing (P) Ltd. (2021) pp. 319-361
5.	Diego M. Papayannis, " <i>Independence, Impartiality and Neutrality in Legal Adjudication</i> ", Journal for Constitutional Theory and Philosophy of Law, 28 2016 Issues in Contemporary Jurisprudence

**Seminar on Judicial Reasoning: Knowledge, Skills & Perspective Development [P- 1310]
15th & 16th October 2022**

6.	Chinua Asuzu, “ <i>Introduction – Trial Judges face a tougher writing task than appellate Justices</i> ” in JUDICIAL WRITING: A BENCHMARK FOR THE BENCH, PARTRIDGE PUBLISHING (2016), pp 8-11
7.	S.I. Strong, <i>Writing Reasoned Decisions and Opinions: A Guide for Novice, Experienced, and Foreign Judges</i> , Journal of Dispute Resolution, Vol. 2015, Iss. 1 [2015], Art. 7
CASE LAW	
<i>(Judgments mentioned below includes citation and short note for reference and discussion purpose during the course of the programme. Please refer the full judgment for conclusive opinion)</i>	
1.	<i>SBI & Another v. Ajay Kumar Sood</i> , (2022) SCC OnLine 1067
2.	<i>Aparna Bhat v. State of M.P.</i> (2021) SCC OnLine SC 230
3.	<i>Shakuntala Shukla v. State of Uttar Pradesh</i> , 2021 SCC OnLine SC 672 (Refer Para 9)
4.	<i>Ajit Mohan v. Legislative Assembly Delhi</i> , 2021 SCC OnLine SC 495
5.	<i>Surjeet Singh v. Sadhu Singh</i> , (2019) 2 SCC 396
6.	<i>Kanailal v. Ram Chandra Singh</i> , (2018) 13 SCC 715
7.	<i>CIT v. Saheli Leasing & Industries Ltd.</i> , (2010) 6 SCC 384
8.	<i>Board of Trustees of Martyrs Memorial Trust v. Union of India</i> , (2012) 10 SCC 734
SESSION 3	
INTERFERENCE OF PERSONALITY IN JUDICIAL REASONING	
1.	Renata Grossi, “ <i>Law, Emotion and the Objectivity Debate</i> ”, Griffith Law Review, (2019) DOI: 10.1080/10383441.2019.1627042
2.	Brian M. Barry, “ <i>Judges’ Characteristics and Effects on Judicial Decision-Making</i> ” in HOW JUDGES JUDGE: EMPIRICAL INSIGHTS INTO JUDICIAL DECISION MAKING pp. 111-163 (2021)
3.	Brian M. Barry, “ <i>Judicial Decision-Making in and Institutional Context: In-Court Influences</i> ” in HOW JUDGES JUDGE: EMPIRICAL INSIGHTS INTO JUDICIAL DECISION MAKING pp. 186-223 (2021)
4.	Allison P. Harris and Maya Sen, “ <i>Bias and Judging</i> ” 22 Annu. Rev. Political Sci. pp. 241–259 (2019).
5.	Elliott Ash <i>et. al.</i> “ <i>Measuring Gender and Religious Bias in the Indian Judiciary</i> ” Center for Law & Economics Working Paper Series 2021 Volume 03
6.	Australian Law Reform Commission, “ <i>Cognitive and Social Biases in Judicial Decision-Making</i> ” Background Paper JI6: Judicial Impartiality (2021) accessed at https://www.alrc.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/JI6-Cognitive-Biases-in-Judicial-Decision-Making.pdf
7.	Andrew J. Wistrich and Jeffrey J. Rachlinski, “ <i>Implicit Bias in Judicial Decision Making: How it Affects Judgment and What Judges Can Do About It</i> ” Chapter 5: American Bar Association, Enhancing Justice (2017) Cornell Legal Studies Research Paper No. 17-16.
8.	Richard A. Posner, HOW JUDGES THINK, Universal Law Publishing, (2011 Second Indian Reprint) “ <i>The Judicial Environment: External Constraints on Judging</i> ”, pp. 125-157 “ <i>The Judicial Environment: Internal Constraints on Judging</i> ”, pp. 174-203
9.	Rolf Dobelli, “ <i>Decide Better - Decide Less: Decision Fatigue</i> ” in THE ART OF THINKING CLEARLY, Sceptre Publishing,
10.	John F. Irwin & Daniel L. Real, “ <i>Unconscious Influences on Judicial Decision-Making: The Illusion of Objectivity</i> ”, 42 McGeorge L. Rev. (2016)

11.	Justice C. Nagappan, <i>Relationship between the Bar and the Bench</i> , SCC (2002) 1 LW (JS) 65
ADDITIONAL SUGGESTED REFERENCES	
1.	Rachel J. Cahill-O'Callaghan " <i>The Influence of Personal Values on Legal Judgments</i> ", Journal of Law and Society, November 2013, Vol. 40, No. 4 (November 2013), pp. 596-623 Link to this article: https://www.jstor.org/stable/43863011
SESSION 4	
CONSTITUTIONAL MORALITY IN JUDICIAL REASONING	
1.	Michael D. Daneker, " <i>Moral Reasoning and The Quest for Legitimacy</i> ", The American University Law Review, (1993), Vol 43:49
2.	Jeremy Waldron, " <i>Judges as moral reasoners</i> ", International Journal of Constitutional Law, Volume 7, Issue 1, January 2009, Pages 2–24
3.	Anneke Meerkotter, <i>In Defense of Constitutional Morality</i> , SALC Policy Brief, No. 3 of 2019
4.	Dr. Surya Narayan Misra, " <i>Constitutional Democracy, Judiciary and Social Justice in India</i> ", Odisha Review, January - 2013
5.	Yusuf, Salma, " <i>The Rise of Judicially Enforced Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights--Refocusing Perspectives</i> ," Seattle Journal for Social Justice: Vol. 10: Iss. 2, Article 3, (2012)
6.	Andrew Goodman, " <i>The Use of Precedent</i> " in HOW JUDGES DECIDE CASES: READING, WRITING AND ANALYSING JUDGMENTS, Wildy, Simmonds & Hill Publishing, Second Edition, pp. 168-185
7.	Alan H. Goldman, <i>The Force of Precedent in Legal, Moral, and Empirical Reasoning</i> , Synthese, Jun., 1987, Vol. 71, No. 3 (Jun., 1987), pp. 323-346
8.	Raphael A. Akanmidu, " <i>The Morality of Precedent in Law</i> ", Ratio Juris. Vol. 14 No. 2 June 2001 (244:51)
CASE LAW	
<i>(Judgments mentioned below includes citation only for reference. Please refer the full judgment for conclusive opinion)</i>	
1) <i>Kantaru Rajeevaru v. Indian Young Lawyers Association</i> , (2020) 3 SCC 52	
2) <i>Shrimat Balasaheb Patil v Speaker, Karnataka Legislative Assembly and Others</i> , (2020) 2 SCC 595	
3) <i>Indian Young Lawyers Association & Ors v. The State of Kerala & Ors.</i> , (2019) 11 SCC 1	
4) <i>K. S. Puttaswamy (Aadhaar) v. Union of India</i> , (2019) 1 SCC 1 [Para 1525]	
5) <i>Manoj Narula v. Union of India</i> , 2014 SCC OnLine SC 640	
6) <i>State (NCT of Delhi) v. Union of India</i> , (2018) 8 SCC 501	
7) <i>Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India</i> , AIR 2018 SC 4321	
8) <i>Joseph Shine v. Union of India</i> , 2018 SC 1676	
9) <i>Naz Foundation v Government of NCT and Ors.</i> , 2009 SCC OnLine Del 1762	
10) <i>Independent Thought v. Union of India</i> , AIR 2017 SC 4904	
11) <i>S.P Gupta v. Union of India</i> , (1981) Supp SCC 87	
12) <i>Jayalakshmi and Others v. State of Tamil Nadu</i> , 2021 SCC Online Mad 16513 [Relevant Paras 16-23]	

13) *V Ramana @ Ramakrishnan v. The Commissioner of Police*, W.P. (MD) No. 3289 of 2019 and W.M.P. (MD) No. 2579 of 2019 (Relevant Paras 9, 13, 14 and 15)

ADDITIONAL SUGGESTED REFERENCES

1.	<i>L. Cheziyan @ Sakthivel v. The Commissiner of Police</i> , W.P. (MD) No. 3154 of 2019
2.	Anthony R. Reeves, “ <i>Judicial Practical Reason: Judges in Morally Imperfect Legal Orders, Law and Philosophy</i> ”, May 2011, Vol. 30, No. 3 (May 2011), pp. 319-352 Link to this article: https://www.jstor.org/stable/41486986
3.	Patricia M. Wald, “ <i>The Role of Morality in Judging: A Woman Judge's Perspective</i> ,” 4(1) LAW & INEQ. 3 (1986). Link to this article: https://scholarship.law.umn.edu/lawineq/vol4/iss1/2
4.	<i>Constituent Assembly Debates, 1948, Vol. VII, 38.</i> - [The principle of constitutional morality basically means to bow down to the norms of the Constitution and not to act in a manner which would become violative of the rule of law or reflectible of action in an arbitrary manner. It actually works at the fulcrum and guides as a laser beam in institution building. The traditions and conventions have to grow to sustain the value of such a morality. The democratic values survive and become successful where the people at large and the persons-in-charge of the institution are strictly guided by the constitutional parameters without paving the path of deviancy and reflecting in action the primary concern to maintain institutional integrity and the requisite constitutional restraints. Commitment to the Constitution is a facet of constitutional morality.]

SESSION 5

LOGIC IN JUDICIAL REASONING

1.	George C. Christie, “ <i>Objectivity in the Law</i> ” 78 The Yale L. J. 1311 (1969).
2.	Brian M. Barry, “ <i>The Psychology of Judicial Decision Making</i> ” in HOW JUDGES JUDGE: EMPIRICAL INSIGHTS INTO JUDICIAL DECISION MAKING pp. 12-90 (2021)
3.	Owen M. Fiss, “ <i>Objectivity and Interpretation</i> ” 34(4) Stanford Law Review pp. 739-763 (1982)
4.	Timothy J. Capurso “ <i>How Judges Judge: Theories on Judicial Decision Making</i> ” 29(1) University of Baltimore Law Forum, Article 2 (1998)
5.	Quintanilla, Victor D., “ <i>Judicial Mindsets: The Social Psychology of Implicit Theories and the Law</i> ” 90 Nebraska Law Review 611 (2012).
6.	Chinua Asuzu, “ <i>Logic and Clear Thought</i> ” in JUDICIAL WRITING: A BENCHMARK FOR THE BENCH, PARTRIDGE PUBLISHING (2016), pp 202-245
7.	Andrew Goodman, “ <i>Analysing Judgments: Reasoning, Argument and Legal Logic</i> ” in HOW JUDGES DECIDE CASES: READING, WRITING AND ANALYSING JUDGMENTS, Wildy, Simmonds & Hill Publishing, Second Edition, pp. 151-168
8.	Justice Sunil Ambwani, “ <i>Ethical Reasoning in Judicial Process</i> ”, (2012) 4 SCC J-35
9.	Yogesh Pratap Singh, “ <i>Judicial Review And Process of Judging: The Jurisprudential analysis</i> ”, 60 JILI (2018) 58

CASE LAW

(Judgments mentioned below includes citation only for reference. Please refer the full judgment for conclusive opinion)

Seminar on Judicial Reasoning: Knowledge, Skills & Perspective Development [P- 1310]
15th & 16th October 2022

- 1) *Madan Mohan Singh v. Rajni Kant*, (2010) 9 SCC 209 [Relevant Paras 12 - 16]
- 2) *Dhanna Lal v. Kalawatibai*, (2002) 6 SCC 16

ADDITIONAL SUGGESTED REFERENCES

1.	Adam Rigoni, <i>Common-Law Judicial Reasoning and Analogy</i> Legal Theory, 20, pp 133-156 (2014). Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S1352325214000044
2.	V. Sree Vidya, “ <i>A Prolegomenon To Presumption as A Canon of Justice: The Judicial Perspective</i> ” 1.1 VSLR (2019) 8